	Case 5:10-cv-01838-RMW Document 7 Filed 04/23/10 Page 1 of 2
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
10	FOR THE EASTERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA
11	
12	ABEL REYES, 1:10-CV-00533 AWI GSA HC
13	Petitioner,
14	vs. <u>ORDER OF TRANSFER</u>
15	HEADPASS, Warden,
16	Respondent.
17	/
18	Petitioner, a state prisoner proceeding pro se, has filed a petition for writ of habeas corpus
19	pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 2254.
20	The federal venue statute requires that a civil action, other than one based on diversity
21	jurisdiction, be brought only in "(1) a judicial district where any defendant resides, if all defendants
22	reside in the same state, (2) a judicial district in which a substantial part of the events or omissions
23	giving rise to the claim occurred, or a substantial part of the property that is the subject of the action is
24	situated, or (3) a judicial district in which any defendant may be found, if there is no district in which
25	the action may otherwise be brought." 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b).
26	In this case, Petitioner is challenging the loss of times credits due to a guilty finding in a prison
27	disciplinary proceeding. Where "the petition is directed to the manner in which a sentence is being
28	executed, e.g., if it involves parole or time credits claims, the district of confinement is the preferable

Case 5:10-cv-01838-RMW Document 7 Filed 04/23/10 Page 2 of 2

forum." Meador v. Cal. Dept. of Corr., 2003 WL 21910917 *1 (N.D.Cal.), citing Dunne v. Henman, 875 F.2d 244, 249 (9th Cir.1989); Braden v. 30th Judicial Circuit Court, 410 U.S. 484, 497 (1973); In re Phelon, 2002 WL 31618536, *1 (N.D. Cal.); Thomas v. Hepburn, 2001 WL 505916, *1 (N.D.Cal.); McKnight v. Forman, 1997 WL 50267, *1 (N.D. Cal.). Petitioner is incarcerated at Salinas Valley State Prison in Soledad, California, which is within the jurisdictional boundaries of the Northern District of California. See 28 U.S.C. § 84(b). Therefore, in the interest of justice the petition will be transferred to the United States District Court for the Northern District of California. 28 U.S.C. §§ 1404(a) and 2241(d). Accordingly, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that this matter is transferred to the United States District Court for the Northern District of California. IT IS SO ORDERED. **Dated:** April 21, 2010 /s/ Gary S. Austin UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE